منابع فصل اول

۱. پوپر، کارل. مترجم احمد آرام ، (۱۴۰۰) منطق اکتشاف علمی. ناشر: سهامی انتشار

۲. خاکی، غلامرضا. (۱۴۰۱). روش تحقیق با رویکرد پایان‌نامه‌نویسی. تهران: مرکز انتشارات علمی.

۳. دلاور، علی. (۱۴۰۲) **مبانی نظری و عملی پژوهش در علوم انسانی و اجتماعی**. تهران: انتشارات رشد.

۴. سرمد، زهره، بازرگان، عباس، و حجازی، الهه. (۱۴۰۴). روش‌های تحقیق در علوم رفتاری. تهران: انتشارات آگاه.

۵. نلسون، جری آر. و توماس، جری آر.مترجم احمد صدیق سروستانی، (۱۳۹۲). روش تحقیق در فعالیت بدنی انتشارات سمت.

1. Alzahrani, A., & Ullah, A. (2024). Advanced biomechanical analytics: Wearable technologies for precision health monitoring in sports performance. Digital health, 10, 20552076241256745.
2. A'Naja, M. N., Batrakoulis, A., Camhi, S. M., McAvoy, C., Sansone, J. S., & Reed, R. (2024). 2025 ACSM worldwide fitness trends: future directions of the health and fitness industry. ACSM's Health & Fitness Journal, 28(6), 11-25.
3. Babbie, E. R. (2020). The practice of social research. Cengage Au.
4. Bayles, M. P. (2023). ACSM's exercise testing and prescription. Lippincott williams & wilkins.
5. Capili, B. (2023). Observational Studies: Methods to Improve Causal Inferences. The American journal of nursing, 123(1), 45.
6. Claudino, J. G., Capanema, D. D. O., De Souza, T. V., Serrão, J. C., Machado Pereira, A. C., & Nassis, G. P. (2019). Current approaches to the use of artificial intelligence for injury risk assessment and performance prediction in team sports: a systematic review. Sports medicine-open, 5(1), 28.
7. Cornett, J., & Knight, J. (2009). Research on coaching. Coaching: Approaches and perspectives, 192, 216.
8. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Sage publications.
9. Deeks, J. J., Bossuyt, P. M., Leeflang, M. M., & Takwoingi, Y. (Eds.). (2023). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy. John Wiley & Sons.
10. Goodman, S. N., Fanelli, D., & Ioannidis, J. P. (2016). What does research reproducibility mean?. Science translational medicine, 8(341), 341ps12-341ps12.
11. Kelly, P. J. (2023). Theory and Reality: An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science, by Peter Godfrey-Smith. Teaching Philosophy, 46(2), 261-264.
12. Kumar, R., Parvathy, S., Rajmohan, U., & Sharma, P. (2023). Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners. Academic Guru Publishing House.
13. Lai, H. P., Miles, R. M., Bredin, S. S., Kaufman, K. L., Chua, C. Z., Hare, J., ... & Warburton, D. E. (2019). "With every step, we grow stronger": the cardiometabolic benefits of an indigenous-led and community-based healthy lifestyle intervention. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 8(4), 422.
14. Lipshitz, R., Popper, M., & Friedman, V. J. (2002). A multifacet model of organizational learning. The journal of applied behavioral science, 38(1), 78-98.
15. Merzel, C., & D'Afflitti, J. (2003). Reconsidering community-based health promotion: promise, performance, and potential. American journal of public health, 93(4), 557-574.
16. National Academies of Sciences, Medicine, Policy, Global Affairs, Board on Research Data, Information, ... & Replicability in Science. (2019). Reproducibility and replicability in science. National Academies Press.
17. Nosek, B. A., Hardwicke, T. E., Moshontz, H., Allard, A., Corker, K. S., Dreber, A., ... & Vazire, S. (2022). Replicability, robustness, and reproducibility in psychological science. Annual review of psychology, 73, 719-748.
18. Okasha, S. (2016). Philosophy of science: very short introduction. Oxford University Press.
19. Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. Science, 349(6251), aac4716.
20. Peeling, P., Binnie, M. J., Goods, P. S., Sim, M., & Burke, L. M. (2018). Evidence-based supplements for the enhancement of athletic performance. International journal of sport nutrition and exercise metabolism, 28(2), 178-187.
21. Portney, L. G. (2020). Foundations of clinical research: applications to evidence-based practice. FA Davis.
22. Rahl, R. (2010). Physical activity and health guidelines: recommendations for various ages, fitness levels, and conditions from 57 authoritative sources. Human Kinetics.
23. Resnik, D. B. (2025). The Trust Approach to Research with Human Subjects. In The Ethics of Research with Human Subjects: Protecting People, Advancing Science, Promoting Trust (pp. 117-152). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
24. Schlagwein, D. (2021). Natural sciences, philosophy of science and the orientation of the social sciences. Journal of Information Technology, 36(1), 85-89.
25. Setiawan, A., & Pangalingan, E. (2026). Standardization Of Physical Fitness Assessment Based On The Independent Curriculum: Scoping Review Of The Physical Education Instrument. COMPETITOR: Jurnal Pendidikan Kepelatihan Olahraga, 18(1), 0001-0012.
26. Stack, M. (2025). ACSM Names 2025 Certified Professional of the Year. ACSM's Health & Fitness Journal.
27. Thomas, J. R., Nelson, J. K., & Silverman, S. J. (2001). Research methods in physical activity (Vol. 4). Champaign, IL: Human kinetics.
28. Wackerhage, H., & Schoenfeld, B. J. (2021). Personalized, evidence-informed training plans and exercise prescriptions for

performance, fitness and health. Sports Medicine, 51(9), 1805-1813.
29. Wijaya, A., Al Ardha, M. A., Nurhasan, N., Yang, C. B., Lin, R. H., & Putro, A. B. (2024). Exploring the research trend and development of sports science technology in the last 4 decades: systematic review. Retos, 61, 655-667.

منابع فصل دوم

۱. حافظ نیا محمدرضا. (۱۴۰۴). مقدمه ای بر روش تحقیق در علوم انسانی. ناشر: سازمان سمت.
۲. خاکی، غلامرضا. (۱۴۰۱). روش تحقیق با رویکرد پایان‌نامه‌نویسی. تهران: مرکز انتشارات علمی.
۳. دلاور علی، (۱۴۰۳). روش تحقیق در روان شناسی و علوم تربیتی ویراست پنجم ، انتشارات روان .
۴. دلاور علی، (۱۴۰۴). مبانی نظری و عملی پژوهش در علوم انسانی و اجتماعی. چاپ پنجم، ناشر رشد.
۵. کارگروه اخلاق در پژوهش جهاد دانشگاهی (۱۴۰۴). قوانین، دستورالعمل‌ها و راهنماهای اخلاق در پژوهش‌های زیست پزشکی ایران . انتشارات جهاد دانشگاهی.

6. Alfonso, F., & Crea, F. (2026). International Committee of Medical Journal Editors recommendations: an update. European Heart Journal, ehag290.
7. Bhaskar, R. (2013). A realist theory of science. Routledge.
8. Bird, A. (2012). The structure of scientific revolutions and its significance: An essay review of the fiftieth anniversary edition. The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science.
9. Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2009). Research methods in education (Vol. 6). London: routledge.
10. Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences. (2016). International ethical guidelines for health-related research involving humans: Prepared by the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) in collaboration with the World Health Organization (WHO). Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences.
11. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Sage publications.
12. Crotty, M. J. (1998). The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process.
13. Elliott, R., & Timulak, L. (2021). Essentials of descriptive-interpretive qualitative research: a generic approach. American Psychological Association.
14. Evans, A. B., Barker-Ruchti, N., Blackwell, J., Clay, G., Dowling, F., Frydendal, S., ... & Winther, H. (2021). Qualitative research in sports studies: challenges, possibilities and the current state of play. European Journal for Sport and Society, 18(1), 1-17.
15. Fetters, M. D. (2019). The mixed methods research workbook: Activities for designing, implementing, and publishing projects (Vol. 7). Sage Publications.
16. Frost, N. (2021). Qualitative research methods in psychology: Combining core approaches 2e. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
17. Holmes, W., & Miao, F. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. Unesco Publishing.
18. Hsu, H. Y., Hakouz, A., & Fotouhi, G. (2025). Towards responsible generative AI in academia: A synthesis of AI policies on academic writing in the field of educational research. AI and Ethics, 5(5), 5467-5484.
19. Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. Educational researcher, 33(7), 14-26.
20. Lenman, J., & Shemmer, Y. (Eds.). (2012). Constructivism in practical philosophy. Oxford University Press.
21. Long, B., Laux, S., Lemon, B., Guarente, A., Davis, M., Casadevall, A., ... & Resnik, D. B. (2025). Factors related to the severity of research misconduct administrative actions: An analysis of office of research integrity case summaries from 1993 to 2023. Accountability in Research, 32(3), 417-438.
22. Masters, B. R. (2025). The Importance of Responsible Conduct of Research. In Optical Technology Transfer and Innovation in Ophthalmic Imaging (pp. 453-454). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
23. Morgan, D. L. (2014). Pragmatism as a paradigm for social research. Qualitative inquiry, 20(8), 1045-1053.
24. National Academies of Sciences, Medicine, Policy, Global Affairs, & Committee on Responsible Science. (2017). Fostering integrity in research. National Academies Press.
25. Panhwar, A. H., Ansari, S., & Shah, A. A. (2017). Post-positivism: An effective paradigm for social and educational research. International Research Journal of Arts and Humanities, 45(45), 253-259.
26. Popper, K. (2013). Realism and the aim of science: From the postscript to the logic of scientific discovery. Routledge.
27. Ragab, M. A., & Arisha, A. (2018). Research methodology in business: A starter's guide.
28. Resnik, D. B. (2018). The ethics of research with human subjects: Protecting people, advancing science, promoting trust (Vol. 74). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
29. Şahin, M. D., & Ozturk, G. (2019). Mixed method research: Theoretical foundations, designs and its use in educational research. International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research, 6(2), 301-310.
30. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., Thornhill, A., & Lewis, P. (2012). Research methods for business students.
31. Schwandt, T. A. (2015). The Sage dictionary of qualitative inquiry. SAGE Publications, Inc..
32. Shea, M. (2020, July). Principlism's balancing act: Why the principles of biomedical ethics need a theory of the good. In The journal of medicine and philosophy: A forum for bioethics and philosophy of medicine (Vol. 45, No. 4-5, pp. 441-470). US:

Oxford University Press.
33. Smith, B., & Sparkes, A. C. (Eds.). (2016). Routledge handbook of qualitative research in sport and exercise. Taylor & Francis.
34. Smith, M. (2017). Research methods in sport.
35. Sparkes, A. C., & Smith, B. (2013). Qualitative research methods in sport, exercise and health: From process to product. Routledge.
36. Staddon, J. (2017). Scientific method: How science works, fails to work, and pretends to work. Routledge.
37. Tashakkori, A., & Teddlie, C. (2021). Sage handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research. SAGE publications.
38. Turner, J. R. (2023). COPE guidelines: Authorship. Performance Improvement Quarterly, 36(4), 139-141.
39. World Medical Association. (2025). World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human participants. Jama, 333(1), 71-74.
40. Yin, R. K. (2015). Qualitative research from start to finish. Guilford publications.